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Quality animal health services adapted to pastoralism: the role of Community-based Animal Health Workers
Our members

VSF International

Our mission

HEALTHY ANIMALS
HEALTHY PEOPLE
HEALTHY ENVIRONMENT

Our fields of expertise

- ANIMAL HEALTH
- LIVESTOCK FARMING
- CROP PRODUCTION
- NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
- MARKETING AND VALUE CHAIN DEVELOPMENT
- CAPACITY BUILDING AND TRAINING
- EMERGENCY RELIEF AND PREPAREDNESS
- FOOD SECURITY AND NUTRITION
- ADVOCACY
Benefits of a performant animal health system:

- **Socio economic benefits**
  - Direct and indirect animal losses caused by diseases → impact on economy; food and nutrition security; recovery capacity after shocks; rural exodus...
- **Sanitary benefits**
  - Zoonosis, public health, food safety, AMR
- **Commercial benefits**
  - Sanitary standards for livestock export
Evolution of veterinary services in pastoral areas

- In many African countries: Veterinary services first provided by the State, for free. Then privatization since the 90s (Structural Adjustments)
- Often this was not a proper transition: lack of favorable institutional and legislative frameworks. No immediate replacement by the private sector & lack of incentives for relocation of private vets.

Many areas remain under-served by private veterinarians because of the perceived low economic attractiveness and difficult living conditions.

In remote rural areas: lack of veterinary services and absence of epidemiological control systems from the National Veterinary Services to the farmers.

Coexistence of public and private animal health services, potentially leading to unfair competition.

Problem of accessibility to animal health services, in terms of geographic proximity and costs.
Community-based Animal Health Workers (CAHWs): livestock keepers, with solid ties with their community of origin, who are trained to provide animal health services to other members of their community, under supervision by a graduated veterinarian.

Proximity animal health systems:
→ ensure coverage in remote areas or to dispersed communities of mobile pastoralists
  • Private veterinarians
  • Veterinary para-professionals
  • State agents (vets and VPP in public sector)
  • Community based animal health workers (CAHWs)
  • Livestock keepers → epidemiology reporting ; basic animal health
Study on proximity animal health systems

Jan. - June 2017, Margot Galière (vet trainee) / 19 countries

GRADUATED VETERINARIANS:
• Nearly all countries with training curriculum, but very limited n. of vets
• Public sector: present in all countries, but mainly at central level
• Private sector: not always existing (livestock), exact n. rarely known

VETERINARY PARA-PROFESSIONALS:
• In general 2 to 4 years’ training, but sometimes less
• Often 2 to 3 different categories in 1 country; highly variable denominations
• Exact n. rarely known, but much higher than vets in general (x3 to 5 times more)
• Activity regulated by VSB in 50 % of the countries
• Generally present in both public (decentralised level) and private sectors (employees of vet clinics or self-employed in some countries).
COMMUNITY ANIMAL HEALTH WORKERS:

- Present in all surveyed countries; highly variable denominations
- Exact n. rarely known, but often high (> 1000 for 11 countries)
- Present all over the country, in most cases
- Regulatory status highly variable: official (7), informal (6), none (6)
- Official / informal status generally goes along with harmonised training and/or authorisation to practice
- Income sources are highly variable (also depending on regulatory status): farmers (17), but also State (9), NGOs (8), private vets (6)
- CAHWs structuration: existing in 8 countries (official / informal status)

OTHER ACTORS:

- Veterinary drugs can also be sold by pharmacists (12 / 16 countries). Illegal sales reported in all countries.
### Results (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Denomination</th>
<th>CAHWs N.</th>
<th>Geographical coverage</th>
<th>N. of Veterinarians</th>
<th>N. of VPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burkina Faso</strong></td>
<td>• Auxiliaires d’Elevage (AE)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Régions Sahel, Est et Centre Nord</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vaccinateurs Volontaires Villageois (VVV)</td>
<td>4000 (est.)</td>
<td>All country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mali</strong></td>
<td>• Auxiliaires d’Elevage (AE)</td>
<td>1000-2000 (est.)</td>
<td>All country except North Mali</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Eleveur-Relais (ER)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vaccinateurs Villageois de Volailles (VVV)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Senegal</strong></td>
<td>• Auxiliaires d’Elevage (AE)</td>
<td>1000-1500 (est.)</td>
<td>All country</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South Sudan</strong></td>
<td>• Community Animal Health Workers (CAHW)</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>All country</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Small Stock and Poultry Auxiliaries (SSPA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tanzania</strong></td>
<td>• Community Animal Health Workers (CAHW)</td>
<td>1400 (est.)</td>
<td>All country</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
→ VSF International supports proximity animal health services since 30 years in 20 countries.

FLEXIBILITY

There is no ready-made model for setting up CAHWs: functions, training and statute have to be flexibly adapted to the local setting (existence of a legislation regulating CAHWs’ activity, presence of private veterinarians, sanitary and livestock environment)
Setting-up CAHWs: the VSF approach/experience

BUT COMMON PRINCIPLES

1. CAHWs’ selection in a participatory exercise with the community, private vets, veterinary public service, according to co-identified criteria:
   - level of schooling/ basic education,
   - having a good community reputation,
   - having demonstrated a very strong sense of community,
   - having time and dedication, ...

2. CAHWs’ skills & competences: curricula should include
   - a set of basic competences, which are equal in the national territory,
   - and some location-specific competences to account for variations and priority needs in different ecological zones and production systems.
   - Need for harmonization at national level
3. **Training modules**
   - shall include **theoretical training** in the local language, and **practical activities** (*learn by doing*).
   - emphasis to the **relationships of CAHWs with private and/or public vet services** and the responsibility borne by CAHWs towards their own community.
   - training techniques and material adapted to the level of schooling
   - **Length and schedule** of the course to be made in consultation with the CAHW trainees (*→ take into account seasonal agricultural or herding tasks of trainees, pastoral mobility, gender and cultural factors...*)

4. Thanks to repeated **refresher trainings** and continuous follow up, CAHWs are able to acquire, over the years, a quite substantial set of competences and knowledge in veterinary medicine.

5. **Training of Trainers:** focus on participatory teaching techniques
CAHWs should be part of a broader institutional set up, which involves all different animal health actors at national and local levels and takes into account their interactions.

- CAHWs always linked to private vet and public vet services, to ensure security and sustainability of the intervention
- Interventions shall include strategies to support all the actors in the system
Take home messages

→ Graduated vets are always in limited numbers, insufficient to secure a proximity animal health service network by their own.

→ VPPs allow to complete, but not always / everywhere.

→ CAHWs exist in all developing countries, often since many years and are now fully part of the rural fabric. Providing adequate training, they can ensure animal health services and surveillance where nobody else does.

→ They are stable actors, as a result of being embedded in their community.

→ The huge variability of their status, training and supervision is a major factor of risk regarding quality / efficiency / security of their interventions.

→ Official definition of their status, duties, rights and limits at national level is the first step towards adequate training curricula and relevant supervision, as vital conditions to secure their activity.
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